## Appendix 1 – Benefits and Dis-Benefits

	Option	Benefits	Dis-benefits
Option 1	Utilise existing separate Partnership Committees	<ul> <li>Cost avoidance of developing a new structure</li> <li>Integrates into an existing structure</li> <li>Increased local member engagement regarding the LSB</li> </ul>	No benefit from a wider perspective/other views
Option 2	Utilise existing separate Partnerships Committees with co-option	<ul> <li>Improved process</li> <li>Improved staff engagement from Co-optee organisations</li> <li>Increased local member engagement regarding the LSB</li> <li>Wider perspective/views of co- optees which could strengthen scrutiny</li> </ul>	- Increased resources required to train/maintain co-optees
Option 3	Develop a new joint Conwy and Denbighshire LSB Scrutiny Committee with no co-option (Sub-Committee of the Partnership Scrutiny)	nationally as leading the way in	<ul> <li>Increased resources required</li> <li>Diluted member involvement at a local level if a new joint committee does not include all Members from both Counties</li> </ul>
Option 4	Develop a new joint Conwy and Denbighshire LSB Scrutiny Committee with co-option (Sub-Committee of the Partnership Scrutiny)	<ul> <li>Improved reputation and seen nationally as leading the way in relation to the draft regulations regarding Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committees</li> <li>Wider perspective/views of cooptees which could strengthen scrutiny</li> </ul>	- Increased resources required to train/maintain co-optees
Option 5	Option 3 & 4 but independent from the existing local authority system	- LSB has more ownership of the Scrutiny	- Increased resources required above and beyond all the other options.